This study examined the utilization rate of behavioral health services by children with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) over a 6-year period and compared this rate to the expected number of children with ASD in TennCare, Tennessee's managed care Medicaid program. Analysis of TennCare patient data found the rate at which children with ASD received a behavioral health service was 0.24/1000 in Fiscal Year 1995 and 0.57/1000 in Fiscal Year 2000. It notes that, although the rate doubled over time, so did the number of children who participated in TennCare. The paper concludes that the low rate of service use confirms the need for research on service use patterns such as access, type, duration, and continuity of services for children with ASD and the impact of Medicaid-managed care on child and family outcomes. An unexpected finding was the relatively low rate of children reported with ASD receiving services from TennCare. (DB)
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Introduction

In 1994, the state of Tennessee moved to a fully capitated, statewide, managed care Medicaid program called TennCare. TennCare Partners, the behavioral health care arm of TennCare, was developed in 1996. Fully capitated plans like TennCare are expected to result in the greatest cost savings because they have the fewest incentives for cost shifting among payers and the greatest incentives for controlling service utilization (Deal & Shiono, 1998).

Because more states such as Tennessee are experimenting with their Medicaid systems by employing managed care approaches for underserved, low income, and disabled populations, obtaining independent analyses of the impact of these programs on the health care of special needs children is increasingly important. The effect of fully capitated programs like TennCare on service use of children with severe disabilities is unknown, and no data on service patterns of children with complex disorders like autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are available.

As identified by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, IV (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), ASDs are comprised of five pervasive developmental disorders: (a) Autistic disorder, (b) Asperger disorder, (c) Pervasive developmental disorder, (d) Rett's disorder, and (e) Childhood disintegrative disorder. Table 1 reviews criteria for a diagnosis of ASD. All ASDs are lifelong and result from an underlying neurological insult. No association between ASDs and social economic status, ethnicity, or parenting style exists. Autism, the prototypical ASD, is present before or nearly after birth and affects a child's development in social and communicative behaviors prior to three years of age. An estimated more than 500,000 children between the ages of birth to 18 live in the U.S. A recent epidemiological survey revealed that autism affects approximately 1 out of every 600 children, and ASDs affect about 1 out of every 160 children (Chakrabarti & Fombonne, 2001).

Best practices in autism identify a whole-child approach to treatment as primary (Ruble & Brown, 2002). A whole-child approach requires access to specialists in behavior management, speech and language therapy, and occupational therapy. Other less traditional services such as respite care, in-home personal assistance, counseling, and support are also necessary for many families. Fortunately, these services are within the scope of the guidelines of Medicaid's Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) service.

The aim of this study was to determine the utilization rate of behavioral health services by children with ASD over a six-year period and compare this rate to the expected number of children with ASD in the TennCare population.

Methods

TennCare data available from a previous investigation, the IMPACT Study (Heflinger, Northrup, Saunders, & Renfrew, 2000), were analyzed. The IMPACT study was conducted at Vanderbilt University's Institute for Public Policy Studies and was part of a national investigation that examined the impact of managed care on vulnerable populations. Children and adolescents with autism and
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Table 1  
Autism Spectrum Disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Autistic Disorder</th>
<th>Asperger Disorder</th>
<th>Pervasive Developmental Disorder Not Otherwise Specified</th>
<th>Rett's Disorder</th>
<th>Childhood Disintegrative Disorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disordered social interaction</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disordered communication</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present*</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted and repetitive behaviors</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Present*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset</td>
<td>Prior to 36 months</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prior to 36 months</td>
<td></td>
<td>Between 2 and 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern of regression in several areas</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average intelligence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence (Chakrabarti &amp; Fombone, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.8/10,000</td>
<td>8.4/10,000</td>
<td>36.1/10,000</td>
<td>1/10,000</td>
<td>1/10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One of these must be present  
**Two of these must be present

mental retardation serve as an additional population group not targeted in the original IMPACT Study. Existing TennCare enrollment and encounter data for the statewide population of children and adolescents who were Medicaid beneficiaries are available through TennCare files.

After files were extracted and cleaned, data on children with ASD were selected based on ICD-9 codes (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1980) reported in the encounter/claims data. ASDs were identified by ICD-9 diagnostic codes 299.00 to 299.9.

**Results and Discussion**

As shown in Figure 1, the rate at which children with an ASD received a behavioral health service was 0.24/1000 in FY1995 and 0.57/1000 in FY2000. Although the rate doubled over time, so did the number of children who participated in TennCare. This low rate of service use confirms the need for research on service use patterns such as access, type, duration, and continuity of services for children with ASD and the impact of Medicaid managed care on child and family outcomes.

Evaluating the impact of Medicaid managed care programs on the behavioral health care usage patterns of children with complex developmental disorders is critical. The purpose of this study was to describe the rate of service utilization of children with ASDs and compare this rate to the expected number of children with ASD participating in TennCare.

An unexpected finding was the low rate of children reported with ASD. Several possibilities may explain this finding. First, many families may receive services through their local school systems as part of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. Second, families may have difficulty locating specialists in ASDs to provide behavioral health services for their child. A third possibility suggests that although ASDs are described in the DSM-IV as a psychiatric disorder, they are also considered to be a developmental disorder. This dichotomy may result in services being disregarded by the managed care organizations and behavioral health organizations due to a lack of medical necessity. Or, service providers may be coding children as having a psychiatric disorder in order to receive reimbursement. Clearly, more information is needed to fully understand why children with ASDs are highly under-represented in this statewide data set.
Although the number of children with ASD doubled over the years, the general TennCare population also doubled, suggesting that the proportional number of children seeking services did not increase over time. This trend contradicts data from the U.S. Department of Education and the California Department of Developmental Services (CDDS). These agencies have published reports documenting autism as the largest growing low incidence disability in terms of numbers of children receiving services (U.S. Department of Education, 1999). The CDDS reported a 273% increase in the number of services provided to children with ASD. More research on the types, patterns, and quality of service use and the impact of managed care on child and family outcomes is necessary.
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